

EPA Official Record

Notes ID: 159096012F7E221C852578690055A5CA

From: "Anderson, Mark J Jr NAE" <Mark.J.Anderson.Jr@usace.army.mil>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Delivered Date: 01/27/2010 11:54 AM EDT

Subject: RE: FW: NBH - Air Modeling Report Comments

Word managed to shorted the initials for a couple of the USACE reviewers....

RA = RAL = Bob Leitch
LG = LGC = Larry Cain (risk assessor)

Mark

Mark J. Anderson, Jr., PMP
Engineering Technical Lead
Geo-Environmental Branch

US Army Corps of Engineers
New England District (CENAE-EP-G)
696 Virginia Rd.
Concord, MA 01742

p: 978-318-8072
c: 978-394-2940
mark.j.anderson.jr@usace.army.mil

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 11:51 AM
To: Anderson, Mark J Jr NAE
Cc: stanley.elainet@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: FW: NBH - Air Modeling Report Comments

thanks Mark. Who are the commentors "LG" and "RA" as listed in the Word track changes?

Dave

"Anderson, Mark

J Jr NAE"
<Mark.J.Anderson
.Jr@usace.army.m Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
il> cc
ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
01/27/2010 08:47 Subject
AM FW: NBH - Air Modeling Report
Comments

As promised....sorry I forgot to send it to you two, originally....

Mark

Mark J. Anderson, Jr., PMP
Engineering Technical Lead
Geo-Environmental Branch

US Army Corps of Engineers
New England District (CENAE-EP-G)
696 Virginia <<lhcc.air.model NAE Included.110.doc>> Rd.
Concord, MA 01742

p: 978-318-8072
c: 978-394-2940
mark.j.anderson.jr@usace.army.mil

-----Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Mark J Jr NAE
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2010 7:58 AM
To: 'steven.fox@jacobs.com'
Cc: 'Mark.Gouveia@jacobs.com'
Subject: NBH - Air Modeling Report Comments

Steve

Attached, please find the NAE and ERDC comments on the Air Modeling Report.

The first attachment are embedded comments from Larry Cain of NAE. He has added his comments onto the markup from Dave Dickerson. In addition, he has

included the following comments:

- The ISC inputs are in general straightforward with the exception of flux rate. The flux rate was calculated in a different document, and this document needs improved interpretive insight with respect to flux rate.
- They also need to discuss the concentration limits, since they are too simply presented at face value.
- They need to make better distinctions between model runs done for the earlier versus proposed dredging efforts.

The second attachment are comments from Paul Schroeder and Mansour Zakikhani at ERDC.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding these comments.

Thanks
Mark

Mark J. Anderson, Jr., PMP
Engineering Technical Lead
Geo-Environmental Branch

US Army Corps of Engineers
New England District (CENAE-EP-G)
696 Virginia Rd.
Concord, MA 01742

p: 978-318-8072
c: 978-394-2940
mark.j.anderson.jr@usace.army.mil

[attachment "Review-MZandPRS.doc" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US]
[attachment "lhcc.air.model NAE Included.110.doc" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US]